		oplication No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09	9/678,303	VEZINA ET AL.
	, E	kaminer	Art Unit
	Ge	eorgia L. Helmer	1638
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>NonFinal</u>			
(1) <u>Georgia L. Helmer</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>Mark Konieczny</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 December 2005	7	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N If Yes, provide a brief description:	• •	representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: 112.2			
Claims discussed: 1, 5-10			
Prior art documents discussed: none			
Part II.		•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Examiner told Applicant that if claims 5-8 were canceled 9 and 10 will be allowable. Applicant contacted inventors	d and claims	1, 9 and 10 amended clarifyin	ng language suggested, claim 1,
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the applicat of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief separate in the contraction of all issues. 	ion. The exa parate recor	aminer will provide a writte	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	pplicant/App	olicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)